# Polen Global Growth

Portfolio Manager Commentary – September 2025

# Summary

- Global equity markets extended their rally in Q3 2025 as enthusiasm for generative AI and strong capital flows into semiconductors remained the dominant market themes, while investors largely looked past ongoing trade and valuation concerns.
- Regional performance was mixed. U.S. equities outperformed following robust economic growth and earnings, while Japanese stocks maintained momentum on the back of corporate reforms and reflation. Emerging markets, especially China, saw significant gains due to AI optimism and supportive government policies.
- Our emphasis on quality growth investing was challenged by the market's preference for high-beta growth stocks, contributing to underperformance relative to broader indexes during the quarter.

- Top relative contributors to the Portfolio's performance included Oracle, Shopify, and Icon. The top absolute contributors were Oracle, Shopify, and Alphabet. The largest relative and absolute detractors in the quarter were Paycom, SAP and Adyen.
- During the quarter, we established new positions in NVIDIA, Broadcom, TSMC, Boston Scientific, and Uber, and added to several existing holdings to capture emerging opportunities and evolving company fundamentals.
- To fund these investments and optimize portfolio positioning, we eliminated positions in ADP, Airbnb, Accenture, and Thermo Fisher Scientific, and trimmed selected exposures across the Portfolio.

## Seeks Growth & Capital Preservation (Performance (%) as of 9-30-2025)



The performance data quoted represents **past performance and does not guarantee future results.** Current performance may be lower or higher. Periods over one-year are annualized. Performance figures are presented gross and net of fees and have been calculated after the deduction of all transaction costs and commissions, and include the reinvestment of all income. Please reference the GIPS Report which accompanies this commentary.

The commentary is not intended as a guarantee of profitable outcomes. Any forward-looking statements are based on certain expectations and assumptions that are susceptible to changes in circumstances. Opinions and views expressed constitute the judgment of Polen Capital as of the date herein, may involve a number of assumptions and estimates which are not guaranteed, and are subject to change. Contribution to relative return is a measure of a securities contribution to the relative return of a portfolio versus its benchmark index. The calculation can be approximated by the below formula, taking into account purchases and sales of the security over the measurement period. Please note this calculation does not take into account transactional costs and dividends of the benchmark, as it does for the portfolio. Contribution to relative return of Stock A = (Stock A portfolio weight (%) - Stock A benchmark weight (%)) x (Stock A return (%) – Aggregate benchmark return (%)).

All company-specific information has been sourced from company financials as of the relevant period discussed.



## Commentary

Global equity markets continued to rally across Q3 2025 as investors looked past lingering trade policy uncertainty and valuation concerns, driving the MSCI All Country World Index to record highs during the quarter, returning 7.7%. Similar to the prior quarter, hype around generative Al (Gen Al) was again the dominant theme and semiconductors were one of the primary drivers of returns as the continued capital flow into Al-related infrastructure projects shows no signs of abating.

From a regional perspective, non-US equities lagged their US counterparts, which was a reversal from the prior two quarters of 2025, as the big rebound in European equities stalled out producing only modest gains on the quarter and where the US Dollar stabilized following a  $\sim$ 10% depreciation during the first half of the year (as measured by the Bloomberg DXY US Dollar Index). However, Japanese equities continued their stellar run so far this year thanks to corporate governance reforms and the nascent economic reflation that has boosted investor confidence and foreign investor appetite in the region. Emerging markets produced the best returns for the quarter driven in large part from a Chinese equity market propelled by Gen AI hype and both fiscal and monetary support from the Chinese government. Trade policy moved to the periphery early in the guarter as the Trump administration was able to reach interim agreements with most major trading partners, while a surprise improvement in US economic growth along with potential fiscal tailwinds from the 'One Big Beautiful Bill' and the strong US earnings reported during the guarter provided further impetus to the market rally.

Although the US economy surprised to the upside, growing at a revised annual rate of 3.8% in Q2, it came with an artificial boost thanks to a sharp decline in imports which was essentially an unwinding of the huge surge in Q1 as businesses stockpiled inventory ahead of anticipated tariffs. However, what was most notable in the data was the contribution from technology capex, and Al-related spending in particular, outpacing the contribution from consumer spending despite its much smaller share of the total economy, reflecting the sheer magnitude of capital currently flowing into Al-related projects.

A notable example of this continued investment was Oracle's quarterly earnings report, which highlighted their remaining performance obligations (essentially contracted future revenues mainly related to their cloud infrastructure business) increasing 359% to \$455 billion—in just one quarter—with the stock rallying over 30% following the news. The size and scale of those numbers is hard to fathom, however it speaks to the extraordinary demand for cloud computing and AI infrastructure currently.

Fortunately, Oracle was one of Global Growth's largest holdings prior to these results and so provided an important boost to performance during the quarter (and the year so far), and combined with our positions in Microsoft, Alphabet and Amazon reflects our continued conviction that the majority of the long-term value from Gen Al will accrue to the cloud infrastructure

providers, along with semiconductor companies and select software businesses.

While headline US economic growth numbers had been positive prior to this quarter, further under the surface there have been areas of concern, most notably the continued softening in the labor market and where the burden of consumption is increasingly being shouldered by higher income cohorts—reflective of the so called 'K-shaped' economy. Despite the 'last mile' of inflation remaining stubbornly above the Federal Reserve's target of 2%, the Fed's focus shifted more to the employment side of their dual mandate and they lowered interest rates by 25bps for the first time this year, guiding for additional rate cuts across the remainder of the year and into 2026.

The other noteworthy observation during the quarter was guidance from the hyperscaler management teams around future capital expenditures (CAPEX) intentions—not just for the remainder of 2025 but for future years as well. Historically, these firms have tended to be conservative in their guidance around future spending, however we have recently witnessed prodigious increases to Al-related spending, flagging to us the spigots are wide open as the hyperscalers struggle to keep up with the voracious demand.

With investors maintaining their enthusiasm towards anything Alrelated, the traditionally defensive sectors like healthcare, consumer staples and real estate lagged meaningfully on the quarter. The market has been quick to bifurcate sectors, industries and companies as 'Al winners or losers', and while there are specific headwinds that relate to each of these areas and companies within, it would appear many have been categorized into the latter until proving otherwise.

The persistent 'risk-on' behavior of the market has meant 'high-beta growth' companies have dominated the contribution to returns while 'quality' and 'low-volatility' stocks have lagged meaningfully.

While our heavy emphasis on 'quality' characteristics has been rewarded over the long-term, in narrowly driven and ebullient markets like the one we're in today, quality can get left behind. Despite these continued headwinds to our philosophy and approach, we retain conviction that our emphasis on quality will be rewarded across the full market cycle.

#### Portfolio Performance & Attribution

In Q3 2025, the Polen Global Growth Composite Portfolio (the "Portfolio") returned 3.0% gross of fees and 2.7% net of fees compared to 7.7% for the MSCI All Country World Index (the "Index"). Top relative contributors to the Portfolio's performance included **Oracle**, **Shopify**, and **Icon**. The top absolute contributors were **Oracle**, **Shopify**, and **Alphabet**.



The largest relative detractors in the quarter were **Paycom**, **SAP** and **Adyen**. The largest absolute detractors were **SAP**, **Paycom**, and **Adyen**.

Following on from Q2's substantial rally, **Oracle** was again our top-owned relative contributor following their impressive quarterly results showing a huge acceleration in demand for their cloud infrastructure services, with the stock up almost 30% in Q3. When reacquiring Oracle in September last year, our investment thesis predicted an anticipated acceleration in growth across their application, databases and cloud infrastructure businesses (and the expectation that cloud infrastructure would be growing the fastest), and clearly that thesis is playing out, with Oracle in the midst of a substantial acceleration in revenues.

The primary drag on relative performance was our positioning within information technology. In a similar vein to Q2, with Gen Al as the dominant theme and the high-beta growth areas benefitting most, our underweight exposure to semiconductors (especially early in the quarter) proved to be a headwind, while several of our software holdings detracted as the market continues to categorize large parts of the industry as 'Al losers'. While we acknowledge this market perception, we believe it is an oversimplification that overlooks the high recurring revenues, strong margins, significant switching costs, and durable competitive advantages many of these businesses possess. Additionally, we see Gen AI as a potential catalyst to further enhance productivity and innovation for select companies in this space. Elsewhere, our exposure to the historically consistent and stable areas of digital payments and parts of health care also dragged.

While the risk-on sentiment that has propelled markets these past 6-months (and most of the past 3-years) has been focused on a very narrow set of drivers, our purpose is to build a high-quality portfolio that can compound earnings at a mid-teens rate, through all manner of economic regimes and market backdrops in the expectation that the Portfolio's returns will converge with the underlying earnings profile. Striving to have balance across the spectrum of growth opportunities is a critical element of our purpose. While recent drivers of market performance have been increasingly concentrated to the detriment of our Portfolio's 'balance', our focus is on the long-term and maintain our conviction in the approach that has stood the test of time for multiple decades.

## **Portfolio Activity**

In Q3 2025, we initiated new positions in NVIDIA, Broadcom, Taiwan Semiconductor, Boston Scientific and Uber, and eliminated our positions in ADP, Airbnb, Accenture and Thermo Fisher Scientific. We also added to our positions in Microsoft, Eli Lilly, ServiceNow, and trimmed our positions in Amazon, Shopify, Oracle, Workday and Abbott Laboratories.

During the quarter, we initiated positions in NVIDIA, Broadcom

and Taiwan Semiconductor (TSMC), after having avoided semiconductor holdings over the past 2½ years following the initial wave of enthusiasm around Gen Al. While we have long admired these companies, their highly cyclical earnings have made it extremely difficult to forecast future earnings growth with any degree of conviction. Given our approach of seeking durable and persistent earnings growth that compounds over long holding periods, our concern in holding these names was that we would be forced to endure a punishing downcycle within our typical holding period – there is very little room for that in a concentrated portfolio of 25-35 companies. Notably, pre ChatGPT, NVIDIA had two punishing downcycles over the preceding five years.

Our team is constantly reevaluating each company that meets our quality guardrails, seeking to be intellectually honest and being willing to evolve our opinions when the facts change or when more information is available—that is specifically what has occurred for NVIDIA, Broadcom and TSMC.

While the sheer magnitude of demand for Al chips, servers and networking equipment was something that we clearly underappreciated, new incremental data points over the past few months lead us to conclude the current boom in Al chips and related hardware will likely continue for the foreseeable future giving us greater conviction over the trajectory of future earnings for all three companies. These new data points included: Oracle's \$30 billion annual revenue cloud contract (6/30), U.S. tax incentives reinstating 100% bonus depreciation for short-lived assets such as servers and networking equipment, boosting data center investment (7/4), Meta's pledge to invest "hundreds of billions" in multi-gigawatt data center clusters (7/14), Google's capex hike to \$85B for 2025, with more planned for 2026 (7/23) and the Trump administration's executive order to accelerate the federal permitting of data center infrastructure (7/23). These data points combined with our existing fundamental research led us to believe that the growth potential for these companies remains strong even as growth moderates from the extremes of the past few years—Oracle's recent earnings results (highlighted earlier), along with Microsoft and NVDIA's recently announced \$45 billion investment in data center and Al-related projects in the United Kingdom reinforce that belief and our decision to acquire these three companies during the quarter.

NVIDIA produces the fast chips (GPUs) that are able to process compute intensive tasks like Gen Al training models extremely efficiently, are very flexible so can be used for any various workloads, and as a result are in high demand as the hyperscalers build out their Gen Al infrastructure. We believe NVIDIA has a very strong competitive moat, which is partly about the speed of their chips, but also the entire ecosystem they have built around them (programing language, training models and networking equipment).



We anticipate they will be able to generate earnings growth at ~20% per year for the near-term future and feel their mid-thirties multiple is reasonable for that level of expected growth.

Broadcom is the other major player in the AI chip market and the leading provider of custom chips. The company captures a significant share of enterprise spending in this area. As Gen AI use cases mature, and as inference workloads become a bigger piece of the compute pie, we expect that custom chips (and Broadcom's in particular) will account for a larger share of the total market. We also expect they will be able to grow their earnings by ~20% for the next 3-5 years and believe their multiple in mid-to-high thirties is fair.

TSMC dominates global semiconductor manufacturing with over 60% market share and possesses some of the strongest competitive advantages of any business we've studied outside the US—significant scale, high switching costs and close customer relationships—which allow TSMC to easily meet our guardrail criteria. Roughly 60% of revenues come from high-performance computing (including ~33% from Al datacenter) and another ~25% from smartphones, where Apple and NVIDIA are their biggest customers. While China–Taiwan tensions remain a key risk—which we believe is reflected in the company's sub-20x P/E multiple—we expect mid-teens revenue growth, modest margin expansion, and high-teens EPS growth through the cycle. Given these expectations, we view this risk as acceptable while remaining vigilant for any signs of escalation.

We also acquired a 2% position in Boston Scientific, a global leader in medical products that treat various cardiovascular and other conditions. Over the last two years they have witnessed a meaningful acceleration in their growth profile based on two primary catalysts: their Farapulse platform for pulse field ablation (PFA) and their Watchman platform for atrial appendage. PFA is a newer medical procedure used to treat atrial fibrillation that is less invasive, more precise and faster than more traditional ablation procedures and with fewer risks than medication. We believe PFA is likely to become the standard of care in this treatment paradigm and Farapulse is poised to be the market leader. The Watchman segment which already dominates market share, is a permanent implant designed to reduce the risk of stroke in patients with atrial fibrillation, represents a meaningful and accelerating percentage of their revenues. With an overall revenue growth rate in the low double-digit range combined with modest margin expansion, we think Boston Scientific has the potential to grow their earnings in the mid-teens over the next 3-5 years.

Additionally, we acquired a new position in Uber during the quarter. We have followed Uber for many years and believe their scale, network effects, growth opportunities and market position, combined with their current valuation make a compelling investment thesis. They have become one of the most recognizable consumer brands in the world and anticipate nearly \$200bn in booking transactions for 2025. Over the past three years, they

have compounded revenues at 36%. Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation, and Amortization (EBITDA) at 69% and Free Cash Flow (FCF) margins have gone from negative to mid-teens. While the threat of autonomous vehicles looms and is likely weighing on the valuation, we believe that threat is many years away and so view that risk as low, and we expect Uber to compound earnings at ~20% p.a. over the next five years. In fact, we think the fastest way for autonomous vehicle companies to scale is to partner with a large and highly utilized platform like Uber who has dominant market position where it competes.

To help fund the NVIDIA acquisition, we sold our small remaining position in ADP. While ADP remains reasonably valued and continues to deliver steady performance, we also hold a position in Paycom, which provides a similar exposure with greater long-term growth potential in our opinion.

We also exited our Airbnb position to help fund our acquisition of Broadcom. Airbnb's growth has slowed in recent quarters, and the consumer environment appears weaker today compared to recent history and so we view the risk/reward in Broadcom as more favorable.

In addition, we also sold our remaining position in Accenture to help fund our acquisition of TSMC. Growth has slowed across the IT services industry, and while AI-related projects should benefit Accenture (and the industry) longer-term, for now that remains a small component of overall IT services spending and so we feel TSMC offers a more attractive growth opportunity.

We also eliminated our remaining position in Thermo Fisher Scientific to help fund the purchase of Boston Scientific, which we feel represents a better opportunity in a similar industry. Thermo Fisher Scientific is currently navigating a combination of macro, policy and funding headwinds that while cyclical, are likely to persist for the foreseeable future and therefore we felt the Portfolio would be better served by a reallocation of proceeds to what we believe to be a superior portfolio candidate.

#### Outlook

Over the course of our 36-year history, we have never sought to be at the vanguard of nascent, emerging trends in markets, instead, waiting for evidence of durability and persistence in revenues and earnings before embarking on our intended long-term journey—and this approach has generally served us well for over three decades. We recognize that the timing of our recent acquisitions of NVIDIA, Broadcom and TSMC are after the prices for all three have appreciated materially, however it is our belief that we're still in the early innings of this infrastructure buildout and so expect these new additions will deliver important contributions to the earnings growth and total return of the Portfolio. Along with the other highly competitively advantaged businesses we own, we anticipate mid-teens or better earnings growth over the long-term and expect that the portfolio's returns has significant potential to converge with the underlying earnings profile in time.



While the 'Magnificent 7' companies—a homogeneous grouping we believe oversimplifies the differences among those businesses—continue to capture the headlines, we have also seen increasing divergence in performance among the constituents so far this year which might suggest that their heterogeneity is becoming more prominent. Combined with the notable performance of businesses outside of this group (e.g. Oracle, Broadcom) it may be that we're finally seeing a broadening of market performance away from this grouping, which we believe bodes well for our portfolio of attractive growth businesses that we believe span the spectrum of durable growth opportunities across sectors and industries.

Thank you for your interest in Polen Capital and the Global Growth strategy. Please feel free to contact us with any questions or comments.

Sincerely,

Damon Ficklin and Steve Atkins

# **Experience in High Quality Growth Investing**



**Damon Ficklin**Head of Team, Portfolio Manager
23 years of industry experience



**Stephen Atkins, CFA**Portfolio Manager & Analyst
28 years of industry experience



# **Important Disclosures & Definitions**

This commentary is very limited in scope and is not meant to provide comprehensive descriptions or discussions of the topics mentioned herein. Moreover, this commentary has been prepared without taking into account individual objectives, financial situations or needs. As such, this commentary is for informational discussion purposes only and is not to be relied on as legal, tax, business, investment, accounting or any other advice. Recipients of this commentary should seek their own independent financial advice. Investing involves inherent risks, and any particular investment is not suitable for all investors; there is always a risk of losing part or all of your invested capital.

No statement herein should be interpreted as an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy any security (including, but not limited to, any investment vehicle or separate account managed by Polen Capital). Recipients acknowledge and agree that the information contained in this commentary is not a recommendation to invest in any particular investment, and Polen Capital is not hereby undertaking to provide any investment advice to any person. This commentary is not intended for distribution to, or use by, any person or entity in any jurisdiction or country where such distribution or use would be contrary to local law or regulation.

Unless otherwise stated in this commentary, the statements herein are made as of the date of this commentary and the delivery of this commentary at any time thereafter will not create any implication that the statements are made as of any subsequent date. Certain information contained herein is derived from third parties beyond Polen Capital's control or verification and involves significant elements of subjective judgment and analysis. While efforts have been made to ensure the quality and reliability of the information herein, there may be limitations, inaccuracies, or new developments that could impact the accuracy of such information. Therefore, this commentary is not guaranteed to be accurate or timely and does not claim to be complete. Polen Capital reserves the right to supplement or amend these slides at any time, but has no obligation to provide the recipient with any supplemental, amended, replacement or additional information.

Any statements made by Polen Capital regarding future events or expectations are forward-looking statements and are based on current assumptions and expectations. Such statements involve inherent risks and uncertainties and are not a reliable indicator of future performance. Actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied.

The MSCI ACWI Index is a market capitalization weighted equity index that measures the performance of large and mid-cap segments across developed and emerging market countries. The index is maintained by Morgan Stanley Capital International. The performance of an index does not reflect any transaction costs, management fees, or taxes.

It is impossible to invest directly in an index. The performance of an index does not reflect any transaction costs, management fees, or taxes.

#### Past performance is not indicative of future results.

Source: All data is sourced from Bloomberg unless otherwise noted. All company-specific information has been sourced from company financials as of the relevant period discussed.

#### Definitions:

Capital Expenditures (CAPEX): This is the money a company spends to buy, upgrade, or maintain physical assets such as buildings, equipment, or technology. These investments are usually for long-term use and are meant to help the business grow or operate more efficiently. Contribution to Relative Return: This is a measure of a security's contribution to the relative return of a portfolio versus its benchmark index. The calculation can be approximated by the below formula, taking into account purchases and sales of the security over the measurement period. Please note this calculation does not take into account transactional costs and dividends of the benchmark, as it does for the portfolio. Contribution to relative return of Stock A = (Stock A portfolio weight (%) - Stock A benchmark weight (%)) x (Stock A return (%) - Aggregate benchmark return (%)). All companyspecific information has been sourced from company financials as of the relevant period discussed. Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation, and Amortization (EBITDA): EBITDA is a measure of a company's financial performance that shows how much profit the company makes from its core operations, before accounting for costs related to debt (interest), government taxes, and noncash expenses (depreciation and amortization). It helps investors understand how well a company's main business is performing, without the effects of its financing decisions, tax situation, or accounting choices for long-term assets. Free Cash Flow Margin (FCF Margin): FCF Margin is a measure of how much cash a company generates, after covering its basic business expenses, as a percentage of its total sales (revenue). **Headwind**: This refers to factors or conditions that can impede the performance or growth of investments, sectors, or entire economies. These obstacles could be economic, political, or market-related and can affect investment returns negatively. High Beta: A "high beta" stock is one that usually moves up or down more than the overall market does. This means it can give you bigger gains if the market is going up, but it can also mean larger losses if the market is going down. High beta investments have more ups and downs compared to other stocks. K-Shaped Economy: A K-shaped economy is a situation where different groups of people or industries recover from an economic downturn at very different rates. In this kind of economy, some individuals or businesses see their financial situation improve (the "upward" part of the K), while others continue to struggle or even fall further behind (the "downward" part of the K). "Last Mile" of Inflation: The "last mile" of inflation refers to the final phase of getting inflation down to the desired target level (such as 2%). In this stage, progress toward lower inflation often becomes slower and more challenging compared to earlier phases. Low Volatility Stock: A low volatility stock is a share of a company whose price doesn't swing up and down as much as the overall market. These stocks are generally considered less risky and can help reduce big ups and downs in an investment portfolio. Magnificent 7: Magnificent 7 refers to a set of the most dominant U.S. stocks, largely focused in the technology sector. The names that comprise the Magnificent 7 are Microsoft Corp. (MSFT), Amazon.com Inc. (AMZN), Meta Platforms Inc. (META), Apple Inc. (AAPL), Alphabet Inc. (GOOG), Nvidia Corp. (NVDA), Tesla Inc. (TSLA). Quality **Stock**: A quality stock is a share of a company that has strong financials, steady profits, reliable growth, and often a good reputation for management. These companies tend to do well over the long term and can better handle tough economic times.

**Risk-On Behavior**: This is when investors feel confident and are willing to take more risk by putting money into investments like stocks, especially those that are more volatile or have higher growth potential.



# **GIPS Report**

#### Polen Capital Management Global Growth Composite—GIPS Composite Report

Year End	Total (\$Millions)	UMA Assets (\$Millions)	Firm  Assets (\$Millions)	Composite Assets		Annual Performance Results				3 Year Standard Deviation <sup>1</sup>	
				U.S. Dollars (\$Millions)	Number of Accounts	Composite Gross (%)	Composite Net (%)	MSCI ACWI (%)	Composite Dispersion <sup>2</sup> (%)	Composite Gross (%)	MSCI ACWI (%)
2024	52,943	21,135	31,808	718.76	8	13.20	11.93	17.49	0.1	19.69	16.20
2023	58,910	22,269	36,641	670.70	9	32.38	30.92	22.20	0.1	20.08	16.27
2022	48,143	18,053	30,090	507.47	7	-30.53	-31.39	-18.35	0.0	20.39	19.86
2021	82,789	28,884	53,905	138.08	7	17.90	17.07	18.54	0.6	15.08	16.84
2020	59,161	20,662	38,499	39.14	3	25.01	24.13	16.27	N/A	16.16	18.13
2019	34,784	12,681	22,104	6.50	2	37.37	36.35	26.60	N/A	12.10	11.22
2018	20,591	7,862	12,729	4.77	2	3.14	2.22	-9.41	N/A	11.50	10.47
2017	17,422	6,957	10,466	4.16	2	32.66	31.55	23.96	N/A	10.12	10.36
2016	11,251	4,697	6,554	0.33	1	1.21	0.34	7.86	N/A	N/A	N/A
2015	7,451	2,125	5,326	0.33	1	10.07	9.14	-2.36	N/A	N/A	N/A

#### Performance % as of 12-31-2024:

(Annualized returns are presented for periods greater than one year)

	1Yr	5 Yr	10 Yr	Inception
Polen Global Growth (Gross)	13.20	8.94	12.38	12.38
Polen Global Growth (Net)	11.93	7.85	11.35	11.35
MSCI ACWI	17.49	10.05	9.22	9.22

Total assets and UMA assets are supplemental information to the GIPS Composite Report.

While pitch books are updated quarterly to include composite performance through the most recent quarter, we use the GIPS Report that includes annual returns only. To minimize the risk of error we update the GIPS Report annually. This is typically updated by the end of the first quarter.



 $<sup>^{1}\!</sup>A$  3 Year Standard Deviation is not available for 2015 and 2016 due to 36 monthly returns are not available.

 $<sup>^2\</sup>mbox{N/A}$  - There are five or fewer accounts in the composite the entire year.

## **GIPS Report**

The Global Growth Composite created and incepted on January 1, 2015 contains fully discretionary global growth accounts that are not managed within a wrap fee structure and for comparison purposes is measured against MSCI ACWI. Prior to October 18, 2016, the benchmark for the Global Growth Composite was the MSCI ACWI variant with gross dividends. As of October 18, 2016, the benchmark was changed retroactively to the MSCI ACWI variant with net dividends, to more accurately reflect the Global Growth Composite's strategy. Effective January 2022, fully discretionary large cap equity accounts managed as part of our Global Growth strategy that adhere to the rules and regulations applicable to registered investment companies subject to the U.S. Investment Company Act of 1940 were included into the Global Growth Composite. The accounts comprising the portfolios are highly concentrated and are not constrained by EU diversification regulations.

Polen Capital Management claims compliance with the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS®) and has prepared and presented this report in compliance with the GIPS standards. Polen Capital Management has been independently verified for the **periods April 1, 1992 through December 31, 2023**. The verification reports are available upon request. A firm that claims compliance with the GIPS standards must establish policies and procedures for complying with all the applicable requirements of the GIPS standards. Verification provides assurance on whether the firm's policies and procedures related to composite and pooled fund maintenance, as well as the calculation, presentation, and distribution of performance, have been designed in compliance with the GIPS standards and have been implemented on a firm-wide basis. Verification does not provide assurance on the accuracy of any specific performance report.

Polen Capital Management is an independent registered investment adviser. Polen Capital Management maintains related entities which together invest exclusively in equity portfolios consisting of high-quality companies. A list of all composite and pooled fund investment strategies offered by the firm, with a description of each strategy, is available upon request. In July 2007, the firm was reorganized from an S-corporation into an LLC and changed names from Polen Capital Management, Inc. to Polen Capital Management, LLC.

Results are based on fully discretionary accounts under management, including those accounts no longer with the firm.

Effective January 1, 2022, composite policy requires the temporary removal of any portfolio incurring a client initiated significant net cash inflow or outflow of 10% or greater of portfolio assets, provided, however, if invoking this policy would result in all accounts being removed for a month, this policy shall not apply for that month. The U.S. Dollar is the currency used to express performance. Returns are presented gross and net of management fees and include the reinvestment of all income. Net of fee performance was calculated using either actual management fees or highest fees for fund structures. The annual composite dispersion presented is an asset-weighted standard deviation using returns presented gross of management fees calculated for the accounts in the composite the entire year. Policies for valuing investments, calculating performance, and preparing GIPS Reports are available upon request.

The separate account management fee schedule is as follows:

Institutional: Per annum fees for managing accounts are 85 basis points (0.85%) on the first \$50 Million and 65 basis points (0.65%) on all assets above \$50 Million of assets under management. HNW: Per annum fees for managing accounts are 160 basis points (1.60%) of the first \$500,000 of assets under management and 110 basis points (1.10%) of amounts above \$500,000 of assets under management. Actual investment advisory fees incurred by clients may vary.

The per annum fee schedule for managing the Polen Global Growth Fund, which is included in the Global Growth Composite, is 85 basis points (.85%). The total annual fund operating expenses are up to 135 basis points (1.35%). As of 9/1/2024, the mutual fund expense ratio goes up to 1.23%. This figure may vary from year to year.

The per annum fee schedule for managing the Polen Capital Global Growth ETF, which is included in the Global Growth Composite, is 85 basis points (.85%). The total annual fund operating expenses are up to 85 basis points (.85%).

Past performance does not guarantee future results and future accuracy and profitable results cannot be guaranteed. Performance figures are presented gross and net of management fees and have been calculated after the deduction of all transaction costs and commissions. Portfolio returns are net of all foreign non-reclaimable withholding taxes. Reclaimable withholding taxes are reflected as income if and when received. Polen Capital is an SEC registered investment advisor and its investment advisory fees are described in its Form ADV Part 2A. The advisory fees will reduce clients' returns. The chart below depicts the effect of a 1% management fee on the growth of one dollar over a 10 year period at 10% (9% after fees) and 20% (19% after fees) assumed rates of return.

The MSCI ACWI Index is a market capitalization weighted equity index that measures the performance of large and mid-cap segments across developed and emerging market countries. The index is maintained by Morgan Stanley Capital International. It is impossible to invest directly in an index. The performance of an index does not reflect any transaction costs, management fees, or taxes.

The information provided in this document should not be construed as a recommendation to purchase or sell any particular security. There is no assurance that any securities discussed herein will remain in the composite or that the securities sold will not be repurchased. The securities discussed do not represent the composite's entire portfolio. Actual holdings will vary depending on the size of the account, cash flows, and restrictions. It should not be assumed that any of the securities transactions or holdings discussed will prove to be profitable, or that the investment recommendations or decisions we make in the future will be profitable or will equal the investment performance of the securities discussed herein.

A complete list of our past specific recommendations for the last year is available upon request.

Return	1 Year	2 Years	3 Years	4 Years	5 Years	6 Years	7 Years	8 Years	9 Years	10 Years
10%	1.10	1.21	1.33	1.46	1.61	1.77	1.95	2.14	2.36	2.59
9%	1.09	1.19	1.30	1.41	1.54	1.68	1.83	1.99	2.17	2.37
20%	1.20	1.44	1.73	2.07	2.49	2.99	3.58	4.30	5.16	6.19
19%	1.19	1.42	1.69	2.01	2.39	2.84	3.38	4.02	4.79	5.69

GIPS® is a registered trademark of CFA Institute. CFA Institute does not endorse or promote this organization, nor does it warrant the accuracy or quality of the content contained herein.

