Scharf Quality Value Strategy

3.4

Executive Summary | As of March 31, 2025



Highlights ₃	
Year Founded	1983
Location	Los Gatos, CA
Firm Assets	\$4.50 billion
Investment Style	Value Oriented
Annual Turnover	35-45%
Average Holdings	25-35

Top Equity Holdings, (%) **MCKESSON** 7.0 **FISERV** 6.4 **BERKSHIRE HATHAWAY** 6.1 **BROOKFIELD** 5.5 **VISA** 4.9 MARKEL 4.4 MICROSOFT 4.4 **OCCIDENTAL PETROLEUM** 4.3 **AIR PRODUCTS AND** 4.1 **CHEMICALS**

Growth of a \$1,000,000 Investment Since Composite Inception¹



1991 1993 1995 1997 1999 2001 2003 2005 2007 2009 2011 2013 2015 2017 2019 2021 2023 2025

Sources: eVestment and Scharf Investments

Investment Philosophy and Process

The Scharf Quality Value Strategy seeks to invest in high quality, enduring franchises priced at substantial discounts to fair value. The team seeks to identify companies with low valuations combined with consistent and sustainable earnings, cash flow and/or book value. The goal is to provide capital appreciation over the course of an entire market cycle while losing notably less than relevant benchmarks in falling markets.

Return Profile

CENTENE

- Low Valuation: Bottom-up, valuationoriented investment strategy.
- Margin of Safety: Focus on "Favorability Ratio", or upside potential versus downside risk to historical trading ranges.
- Investment Flexibility: Opportunistic to investments in companies large and small, foreign and domestic.
- Concentration: Portfolios are constructed with what we bleieve to be the best 25-35 ideas at the time of purchase.
- Long-Term Perspective: Patience to wait for convergence between a stock's price and the company's underlying value.

Rate of Return Gross and Net of Fees

1.3.5, 10 Years, and Since Inception 12/31/90 - 3/31/25



eVestment Rankings Since GIPS Verified 12/31/96 -3/31/25	Scharf Quality Value (Gross)	Scharf Quality Value (Net)		
Annual Return*	12.09	10.84		
Percentile Rank	5	11		
Alpha	5.26	4.09		
Percentile Rank	1	4		
Volatility (S.D.)**	13.99	13.96		
Percentile Rank	7	5		
Downside Capture	60.59	63.91		
Percentile Rank	2	4		
Upside Capture	94.39	89.41		
Percentile Rank	68	83		

*S&P 500 Annual Return: 9.41%; Russell 1000 Value Annual Return: 8.54% *S&P 500 Volatility (S.D.): 16.86%; Russell 1000 Value Volatility (S.D.): 16.70% Source: eVestment US Large Cap Value Equity Universe for the period 12/1996 3/2025. Sample size is 165 for Scharf Quality Value (Gross and Net) rankings.

1 Scharf Quality Value gross and net of fee returns are included in the above charts. The benchmarks shown are the Russell 1000 Value Index and the Standard & Poor's 500 Index. The Russell 1000 Value Index represents a composite of large and mid-cap companies located in the United States that also exhibit a value probability. The Standard & Poor's 500 Index contains 500 industrial, transportation, utility, and financial companies regarded as generally representative of the large-capitalization U.S.stock market. Past performance is not indicative of future results. The performance includes all fee-paying, fully discretionary equity accounts from their first full quarter under management through their last full quarter under management of the properties of the reinvestment of dividends and other earnings, along with the deduction of trading commissions and other costs including management fees. No guarantee can be made that the composite performance is the statistically accurate presentation representing performance of any specific account, as specific account performance depends on investment timing, account-specific guidelines, and other factors that vary from account to account. Results were generated using an investment philosophy and methodology similar to that described herein and that Scharf Investments, LLC expects to continue to use, but future investments will be made under different economic conditions and in different securities. It should not be assumed that investors will experience returns, if any, comparable to those shown above.

2 Top holdings are supplemental to fully compliant performance presentation found on the back. The securities identified and described do not represent all of the securities purchased, sold or recommended for client accounts. The reader should not assume that an investment in the securities

identified was or will be profitable. For a list of all securities recommended for purchase or sale during the time period discussed, please contact Scharf Investments directly. Performance results after March 31, 2025 are preliminary and may be subject to change as a result of ongoing verification 3 Firm assets are as of 3/31/25 and includes assets under advisement. 4 Indices cannot be invested in directly.

The investment strategies described herein are those of Scharf Investments. These materials are being provided for illustrative and informational purposes only. The information contained herein is obtained from multiple sources that are believed to be reliable. However, such information has not been verified, and may be different from the information included in documents and materials created by the sponsor firm in whose investment program a client participates. Some sponsor firms may require that these Scharf Investments materials are preceded or accompanied by investment profiles or other documents or materials prepared by such sponsor firms, which will be provided upon a client's request. For additional information, documents and/or materials, please speak to your Financial Advisor.

Value investing generally seeks stocks that may be sound investments but are temporarily out of favor in the marketplace and is subject to the risk that the valuations never improve or that the returns will trail other styles of investing or the overall stock markets. The SMA investment strategy discussed

may not be suitable or appropriate for all investors depending on their specific investment objectives and financial situation and potential investors should consult with their own financial professional before determining whether to inv

Scharf Quality Value Strategy

Executive Summary | As of March 31, 2025



Year	Composite Total Return Gross of Fees	Composite Total Return Net of Fees	Composite Total Return Net of All Wrap Fees	Russell 1000 Value Return	Standard & Poor's 500 Return	Number of Composite Portfolios	Composite Assets (\$)	Total Firm Assets (\$)	Composite 3 Year St Dev (Gross of Fees)	Russell 1000 Value 3 Year St Dev	Standard & Poor's 500 3 Year St Dev
1991	42.2%	40.3%	39.2%	19.5%	30.5%	19	3,192,775	10,200,000	N/A	N/A	N/A
1992	8.1%	6.5%	5.1%	9.4%	7.6%	35	5,623,314	18,500,000	N/A	N/A	N/A
1993	9.1%	7.5%	6.1%	14.4%	10.1%	59	11,106,371	28,000,000	N/A	N/A	N/A
1994	6.9%	5.3%	3.9%	-5.5%	1.3%	86	12,901,152	40,000,000	N/A	N/A	N/A
1995	36.8%	34.9%	33.8%	38.0%	37.6%	135	30,179,155	68,000,000	N/A	N/A	N/A
1996	32.0%	30.2%	29.0%	21.6%	23.0%	188	57,835,869	98,500,000	N/A	N/A	N/A
1997	30.1%	28.4%	27.1%	35.2%	33.4%	212	84,808,260	131,797,905	N/A	N/A	N/A
1998	27.6%	25.9%	24.6%	15.6%	28.6%	278	118,412,989	166,018,376	N/A	N/A	N/A
1999	22.2%	20.7%	19.2%	7.4%	21.0%	307	147,198,870	210,491,180	16.9%	16.0%	16.5%
2000	12.5%	11.1%	9.5%	7.4%	-9.1%	348	164,086,403	218,975,246	18.2%	17.3%	17.4%
2001	19.2%	17.7%	16.2%	-5.6%	-11.9%	385	204,188,689	275,016,481	15.1%	14.7%	16.7%
2002	-11.1%	-12.2%	-14.1%	-15.5%	-22.1%	478	202,541,718	273,841,310	16.7%	17.0%	18.5%
2003	28.5%	26.9%	25.5%	30.0%	28.7%	557	295,200,382	387,100,039	14.6%	16.0%	18.1%
2004	13.3%	11.9%	10.3%	16.5%	10.9%	696	409,671,208	489,288,960	12.1%	14.8%	14.9%
2005	12.3%	11.0%	9.3%	7.0%	4.9%	781	498,459,218	568,629,995	8.8%	9.5%	9.0%
2006	11.3%	10.0%	8.3%	22.2%	15.8%	817	544,877,064	620,415,124	7.6%	6.7%	6.8%
2007	5.7%	4.4%	2.7%	-0.2%	5.5%	831	584,521,293	681,807,787	8.4%	8.1%	7.7%
2008	-26.1%	-27.1%	-29.1%	-36.9%	-37.0%	796	396,386,690	485,921,399	13.8%	15.4%	15.1%
2009	28.4%	26.8%	25.4%	19.6%	26.5%	750	447,830,002	750,025,372	18.2%	21.1%	19.6%
2010	15.9%	14.5%	12.9%	15.5%	15.1%	834	540,529,490	988,634,723	19.3%	23.2%	21.9%
2011	4.6%	3.4%	1.6%	0.4%	2.1%	957	602,673,598	1,116,241,768	15.6%	20.7%	18.7%
2012	14.3%	12.9%	11.3%	17.5%	16.0%	1,024	805,587,366	1,491,382,028	11.4%	15.5%	15.1%
2013	33.2%	31.8%	30.2%	32.6%	32.4%	1,038	1,143,735,361	2,186,337,295	9.5%	12.7%	11.9%
2014	17.2%	16.0%	14.2%	13.5%	13.7%	1,101	1,587,542,982	3,228,568,198	8.4%	9.2%	9.0%
2015	2.9%	1.9%	-0.1%	-3.8%	1.4%	1,229	2,011,766,652	3,978,556,532	9.7%	10.7%	10.5%
2016	4.9%	3.9%	1.9%	17.3%	12.0%	1,143	2,103,497,016	4,144,710,216	9.8%	10.8%	10.6%
2017	13.8%	12.8%	10.8%	13.6%	21.8%	986	2,019,183,492	3,717,157,332	9.1%	10.2%	9.9%
2018	-1.8%	-2.7%	-4.8%	-8.3%	-4.4%	602	1,182,562,415	2,326,333,399	9.7%	10.8%	10.8%
2019	26.6%	25.5%	23.6%	26.5%	31.5%	530	1,221,228,724	2,370,910,589	10.3%	11.8%	11.9%
2020	13.3%	12.3%	10.3%	2.8%	18.4%	410	1,070,307,020	2,391,697,620	14.7%	19.6%	18.5%
2021	23.5%	22.3%	20.5%	25.1%	28.7%	688	1,664,971,769	2,842,464,274	14.1%	19.1%	17.2%
2022	-7.7%	-8.5%	-10.7%	-7.6%	-18.1%	865	1,641,371,679	2,685,797,917	16.8%	21.3%	20.9%
2022	17.1%	16.0%	14.1%	11.4%	26.3%	969	1,855,900,793	3,263,334,990	14.6%	16.5%	17.3%
2023	17.170	10.0%	14.170	11.470	20.3%	707	1,000,700,793	3,203,334,990	14.070	10.5%	17.570

2024

1.717.222.964

2.811.678.626

- Notes:

 1. Scharf Investments claims compliance with the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS*) and has prepared and presented this report in compliance with the GIPS standards. Scharf Investments has been independently verified for the periods January 1, 1997 through December 31, 2022. A firm that claims compliance with the GIPS standards must establish policies and procedures for complying with all the applicable requirements of the GIPS standards. Verification provides assurance on whether the firm's policies and procedures related to composite and pooled fund maintenance, as well as the calculation, provides assurance as well as the calculation provides assurance on whether the firm's short policies and procedures related to composite bas had a performance, as well as the calculation provides assurance on whether the firm's short policies and procedures related to composite bas had a performance, as well as the calculation, provides assurance on whether the firm's short provides assurance on whether the firm's short policies and procedures related to composite the short provides assurance on whether the firm's short provides assurance on whether the firm's short provides assurance on whether the firm's short provides assurance on the provides and provides assurance on whether the firm's hordinary provides assurance on the provides and provides assurance on the provides and provides assurance on the provides and provides and provides assurance on the provides and provides and prov
- up to \$5 million; any balance over \$5 million; as ubject to an institutional pricing schedule. For new, wrap/bundled fee Equity Solution clients who pay only an Asset Based Fee, the typical Asset Based Fee is an annual fee that ranges from 0.45% to 1.00% of assets. In addition to this fee schedule, wrap fees paid to the separate account manager may also apply. In some cases, wrap fees are calculated at the discretion of the institutional account advisor, which can vary and further affect net performance. The Composite Total Return Not of All Wrap Fees are the Composite Total Return Gross of Fees reduced by the highest wrap fee of 3% applied annually.

 The Scharf Quality Value Composite contains a pooled fund following the same strategy known as the Scharf Fund. This is not an offer to sell securities. That may only be accomplished by the issuance of a private offering memorandum/subscription documents. For Institutional pooled fund (clients, the highest fee schedules in 2.078% of the average daily assets per year with an expense ratio at 1.00% before fee waivers as of year ended September 30, 2020. A more comprehensive breakdown of said pooled fund's fee schedules and expense ratios are available upon request.

 Annualized 1991-2024 return for the composite was 13.7% before management fees. Annual-ized return for the benchmarks listed above were 9.3% & 10.3%, respectively. Returns are size-weighted and calculated using beginning of period values on an adjusted capital basis. Any foreign taxes paid in an account were treated as a flow and had no effect on the account's return. Policies for valuing investments, calculating performance, and preparing GIPS Reports are available upon request. The dispersion of annual gross returns for 1991 through 1996 is measured by the standard deviation unweighted by the size of the account. Dispersion from 1997 forward uses a gross of fees, size-weighted calculation of standard deviation. Pure gross returns were used to calculate the three-year annualized experts annualized exper

- Results were generated using an investment philosophy and methodology that Scharf Investments expects to continue using. However, future investments may be made under different economic conditions and in different securities. Further, the re-sults do not reflect performance in every type of economic cycle. Past performance is not indicative of future results